Dear Sir(s)

I have read the report of the Advisory Mission and agree with its principal finding, i.e. that the scheme would have an adverse impact on the OUV of the WHS and that a southern bypass should be further explored and at the very least the western limit of the tunnel should be extended to the WHS boundary.

National Highways has simply reiterated many of the arguments it has raised previously to justify a scheme which is clearly unacceptable to:

- Government's independent specialist examiners,
- UNESCO's World Heritage Committee, and
- the former Transport Secretary himself who agreed with the examining panel that the scheme would be "significantly adverse" overall.
- The High Court judgment quashed the DCO in part because the Transport Secretary had not given proper consideration to alternatives.

The High Court judgment also quashed the DCO in part because the Transport Secretary had not given proper consideration to alternatives

National Highways' response fails to alleviate any of the above concerns.

I continue to object to the proposals and hope that the scheme will be abandoned.

Yours faithfully

Bill Wiffen

